IN THE COURT OF SESSION JUDGE GURGAON

	son of	age about	: yeaı	rs resident of
Village				
			Convi	cts appellant
	Versus			
State of Haryar	na through the lear	rned Public Pros	ecutor	
			Res	pondent
Criminal appe	eal against judge	nent dated		_ vide which
theh appellar	nt was held guilt	y u/s	a	nd order of
punishment d	lt	_ vide which h	nis sentenced to	undergo RI
for imprisonn	nent for	year and to pa	ay fine of Rs	/-
each or in d	efault to furthe	r undergo imp	orisonment for	
month each.				

The appellant prefer this appeal against their conviction and sentences on the following grounds amount others:-

- 1. That the judgment and order under appeal are not sustained either on facts or under law and are liable to be set aside.
- 2. That even otherwise the investigation was illegal as the informer complainant could not be act as investigation.
- 3. That there was no public witness or independent witness at the time of apprehension of the accused convicts.
- 4. That moreover place of apprehension is alleges to be Subhash Chowk on Sohna Gurgaon Road, which is such a busy place and no person can think of committing any crime there. It is further unbelievable that both will stay there during the period the informer informed the police at sadar Police Station who arranged private vehicle and they were apprehended with arms and ammunition as if they were waiting for the Police to apprehend them. It was summer season and that road has over head lights and is near to thick Abadi of Village-Islampur.
- 5. That there is another surprising fact as recovery of pistol and one cartridge has been shown from Anil Kumar and one live cartridge from

Jitender who had no pistol and it is apparent that those items were planted on the two accused who did not even belong to one place or even one province.

- 6. That a perusal of para no.5 of the judgement would prove that all the witnesses and examined are police and interested witnesses and no public witness was either cited or examined.
- 7. That the learned court below erred in not observing that there were serious contradictions in the evidence of interested witnesses of police department and the author of the FIR and the IO are same person which was against the legal propositions.
- 8. That the learned court below only reproduced the evidence led before it and regarding contradictions it is observed that witnessed had deposed four years forgetting that they were Police Officials and had police file with them and their memory is refreshed before examination. As regards no productions of any public witness the judgement is silent.
- 9. That putting reliance on the interested witness it has been observed that the accused had failed to rebut allegations leveled against them forgetting that they are outsiders and labourer from various provinces are coming to Gurgaon to earn their livelihood. There is no verification report produced from their native places about their past antecedents

to prove that they are bad charactered.	Thus the judgment is based on
mere surmises conjectures assumptions	s, presumptions and inferences
Though 1996(2) RCR433 has been cited	but its ratio of law has not been
applied as in it was held that strongest	of suspicion did not constitute
proof required.	
For the factual and legal grounds, it is	s prayed that the conviction is
based conjectures and is liable to be se	t aside. It is prayed accordingly
that the appeal may kindly be accepted	and the judgment of conviction
and order of sentence both dated	may kindly be
set aside and the accused may kindly be	e ordered to be acquitted of the
charges.	
Dated:	
	Accused/Appellants
	

Through Counsel:

IN THE COURT OF SESSION JUDGE , GURGAON

In the matter of criminal appeal		
	Versus	State
Application for ordering susp	ension of senten	ces nending disposal of the
appeal and affirming the bai	il bonds already	furnished before the trial
court or permitting to furnish	fresh bail and su	irety bonds.
Sir,		
It is submitted that the a	ppellants have	been sentences to suffer
imprisonment for year e	ach as also to pay	/ fine of Rs/-
each.		
1. The accused are poor lab	oourers and had co	ome to Gurgaon to earn their
livelihood and arm and ar	nmunition had bee	en planted on them.
2. They have faced the trial	from April 2010 to	o January 2015 i.e. five years
and had not misused the	concession of bail.	
3. That the trial of appeal wi	ill take long time.	

4.	That	the	appeal	is	pending	of	co-	accused	namely
				in	the		cour	t of	Sh.
	It is p	rayed †	that pendi	ng di:	sposal of ap	peal	their s	entences 1	nay kindly
be o	rdered	to be	suspended	d and	bail bonds	alrea	idy gra	anted may	kindly be
orde	red to l	oe affir	med or th	ey be	granted fr	esh b	ail and	surety bo	onds in the
inter	est of ju	ıstice.							
					Cor	victs	appell	ant applica	ant
					-				
Date	d:								
		Throu	gh Counse	l:					

.

IN THE	COURT OF SE	ESSION JUDGE	GURGAON	
Villageson	of	age abou	t	years resident of
				. Convicts appellant
	Versus			
State of Haryana thr	ough the lear	rned Public Pro	osecutor	
				Respondent
<u>A</u> r	plication for	exemption		
Sir,				
That the appli	ant most re	spectfully subr	nits as unde	r:-
 That in the about the copy of judgen That the certif 	nent.			
2. That the certif	icu copy is a	ttacifed with th	ic co accuso	tu appearme.
It is therefore pra interest of justice		y kindly be allo	owed my app	olication in the
		Appl	icant/Petitio	oner
Through Counsel:				

IN THE COURT OF SESSION JUDGE GURGAON _____ son of ____ age about ____ years resident of Village-...... Convicts appellant Versus State of Haryana through the learned Public Prosecutor Respondent **AFFIDAVIT** I, ______ S/o _____ aged about _ years resident of Village-_____, do hereby solemnly affirm and declare as under:-1. That this my 1st appeal and no other appeal pending in other court. Deponent Verification:-Verified at Gurgaon on dated ______ that the contents of the above affidavit are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Deponent